

*Het bestuur van de Nederlandse Vereniging voor Plastische Chirurgie stelt het volgende vast met betrekking tot borstimplantaten gemaakt van siliconen (envelop en inhoud):*

1. Siliconen prothesen zijn tot op dit moment onmisbaar voor borstreconstructie na borstamputatie en voor de behandeling van onderontwikkeling van de borst. Er bestaat momenteel geen beter alternatief.
2. Het gebruik van siliconen prothesen voor deze toepassingen is verantwoord en veilig op grond van de gegevens van wetenschappelijk onderzoek.
3. Wetenschappelijk onderzoek op epidemiologisch vlak laat zien, dat er bij draagsters van siliconen borstprothesen geen ziekmakend effect kan worden aangetoond op het gebied van auto-immuun ziekten, kanker of ander kwaadaardige ziekten. Ook is er geen nadelig effect vastgesteld ten aanzien van zwangerschap en foetale ontwikkeling, evenmin op het geven van borstvoeding. Het ziektepatroon is niet anders dan gebruikelijk in de totale populatie.
4. Er op grond van bovenstaande geen wetenschappelijk onderbouwde reden bestaat om siliconen borstprothesen aan patiënten te onthouden.
5. Patiënten die in aanmerking komen voor het plaatsen van siliconen implantaten dienen uitgebreid voorgelicht te worden door de behandelend arts over mogelijke risico's, nadelen en lange termijn gevolgen (o.a. mogelijke noodzaak tot vervangen protheses). Hierbij dient ook aan de orde te komen, dat een eventueel mammogram lastiger te interpreteren zal zijn na het plaatsen van siliconen protheses. Tot op heden niet aangetoond, dat dit het stellen van de diagnose mamma-carcinoom vertraagt of tot een slechtere prognose tot gevolg heeft. Toch lijkt het verstandig terughoudend te zijn met het plaatsen siliconenprotheses bij patiënten met een verhoogde kans op het ontstaan van een mammacarcinoom. Het bestuur van de NVPC adviseert schriftelijk voorlichtingmateriaal over bovenstaande aan patiënt ter beschikking te stellen.
6. Er dient een redelijke periode te bestaan tussen consultatie van de patiënt en operatie (uitgangspunt is een periode van 2 weken = conform EQUAM advies). Het bestuur van de NVPC adviseert geen siliconen implantaten te plaatsen bij personen onder de 18 jaar, tenzij medisch noodzakelijk. De NVPC adviseert aan haar leden om preoperatief een "informed consent" te laten tekenen.
7. Er zijn geen harde argumenten voor routine lange termijn follow-up van patiënten met siliconenimplantaten bij ongecompliceerd postoperatief verloop. Toch adviseert de NVPC om deze patiënten – indien gewenst - wel de mogelijkheid van 1-2 jaarlijkse controle te bieden.
8. Vervanging van de implantaten is niet routinematig noodzakelijk. Wel is uit onderzoek bekend, dat bij de tweede generatie siliconenprotheses de kans op ruptuur van de envelop na 10 jaar duidelijk toeneemt. Op grond daarvan adviseert de NVPC deze tweede generatie protheses na 10 jaar te vervangen, indien er klachten zijn (kapselvorming, ruptuur aantoonbaar dmv beeldvorming etc.). De kans op ruptuur is lager bij de derde (huidige) generatie siliconen protheses met een dikkere envelop, maar de lange termijn resultaten (>10 jaar) zijn nog niet voldoende bekend.
9. Voor vervangen van geruptureerde siliconen protheses bestaat een medische indicatie, zodat de ingreep voor vergoeding in aanmerking komt. De zorgverzekeraar is in geval van een mamma-augmentatie in principe niet verantwoordelijk voor het onderhoud van de prothese. Eventueel zal patiënt uit eigen middelen de nieuwe protheses moeten betalen.
10. Bij gebrek aan een nationale registratie van implantaten (zoals de Europese Commissie adviseert, maar VWS is tot op heden in gebreke gebleven) acht het bestuur van de NVPC het de verantwoordelijkheid van de behandelend plastisch chirurg om een registratie van de (siliconen-)implantaten bij te houden. Deze registratie dient bij kwaliteit- en opleidingsvisitaties overgelegd te worden ter controle.
11. De NVPC conformeert zich aan de Consensus Declaration van de European committee on Quality Assurance and Medical devices in plastic surgery (EQUAM). De laatste consensus declaratie van juli 2002, (zie bijlage).

#### CONSENSUS DECLARATION OF EQUAM 6 July 2002

On 6 July 2002, EQUAM issued its Vth Consensus Declaration, which reads as follows:

EQUAM, the European Committee on Quality Assurance and Medical Devices in Plastic Surgery, is dedicated to the assurance of the safe use of medical devices, technologies and procedures in plastic surgery, and to the guarantee of patients' safety. After review and evaluation of current literature and scientific data, EQUAM raises concerns regarding the potentially deleterious use of products, devices and technology, or their application for unintended or unsuitable indications.

#### Breast Implants

##### 1. Soybean Oil-filled Breast Implants (Trilucent TM)

- A. Recent laboratory findings and evaluation of available data [1], [Addendum I], indicate the presence of potentially hazardous components in the breakdown products of soybean oil filler [2], [3], [4], [5].
- B. EQUAM, therefore, emphasizes the need for immediate explanation of these implants.

##### 2. Silicone Gel-filled Breast Implants

- A. Since EQUAM's former declarations, silicone continues to be widely used. No better alternative material has

become available.

B. Additional medical studies have not demonstrated any association between silicone-gel filled breast implants and traditional auto-immune or connective tissue diseases, cancer or any other malignant disease. These studies re-affirm prior data [6], [7], [8], [9].

C. Silicone-gel filled breast implants do not adversely affect pregnancy, fetal development, breast feeding or the health of breast-fed children [10], [11], [12], [13].

D. EQUAM believes it is important to advise patients of the hazards and risks as well as the benefits of breast augmentation or reconstructive surgery and has prepared a Patients Information and Consent Form to be used in discussion with the patient. A reasonable period of time should be allowed between consultation and surgery. It is recommended to postpone the insertion of implants until after the age of eighteen years, unless medically indicated.

E. Patients with breast implants should have regular follow-up [14], [15], [16], [17].

F. No routine replacement of implants is mandatory.

G. EQUAM calls for continuous clinical and scientific research for documentation and monitoring of breast implants.

### 3. National and International Breast Implant Registries

EQUAM believes that national and international registries of breast implants are crucial to obtain information on short- and long-term complications and risks, and for post-implantation surveillance. Principles of confidentiality and the safeguarding of the privacy of both patients and surgeons must be maintained for such a registry to be successful. EQUAM upholds the necessity for national breast implant registries, which may serve as a foundation for the International Breast Implant Registry (IBIR), applying a universal form [Addendum II].

The IBIR will serve to reassure patients, surgeons, health authorities and the general public of the commitment to safety on the part of the plastic surgery community in the implementation of medical devices and technologies used in plastic surgery.

#### Ultrasound-Assisted Lipoplasty (UAL)

A. Various UAL techniques have been used in aesthetic surgery to substitute or in conjunction with conventional liposuction. Immediate adverse effects have been reported and evaluated. Long-term biosafety has been questioned in light of the generation of cavitation with the consequent production of free radicals, sonoluminescence, high pressures and thermal effects [18],[19].

B. The use of antioxidants in clinical application of the various UAL techniques may limit associated risks[20].

C. Further basic science research is mandatory to evaluate risks and to ensure better and safer clinical application.

#### Botulinum Toxin A

A. Botulinum Toxin A (BTxA) is now widely used for aesthetic purposes.

B. BTxA in high dosages has been used in various clinical applications with no reported significant adverse effects.

C. EQUAM welcomes updated clinical data in confirming BTxA's safety and calls for the adoption of the FDA's approval for its use in appropriate aesthetic indications by experienced doctors under medically acceptable conditions.

D. Patients should be provided with detailed information, and a signed informed consent should be obtained prior to performing the procedure [Addendum III].

#### Injectables

Various resorbable and non-resorbable injectable materials for soft tissue augmentation are available at present. Substantial biochemical and biophysical differences and variations in purity between the commercial products exist. Not all of these have stood the test of time and several should still be considered to be experimental.

Due to disturbing reports of severe soft tissue atrophy following the injection of "METREX" (manufactured by Derma Pharma, Lucerne, Switzerland), EQUAM issues a hazard notice for further use of this product.

Numerous case reports describing various complications following the injection of liquid silicone raise concern regarding its continued use for aesthetic purposes. The main concern regarding silicone injections seems to be its migratory capacity and the generation of early or delayed foreign body reaction [21],[22],[23],[24],[25],[26],[27],[28],[29],[30],[31]. EQUAM joins the FDA's current position in its ban of the use of liquid silicone in aesthetic plastic surgery[32]

Clinical studies performed by manufacturers are not always sufficient to predict the incidence of late reactions, when a product becomes available for cosmetic purposes.  
Continued long-term post marketing surveillance by both industry and notifying bodies is essential.  
Patients and users need to be given updated information on the risks of these materials.  
Supply of injectables should be limited to trained physicians.  
Users of the various injectables are required to report adverse events to the competent authorities and manufacturers.

Objective medical and media reports contribute to the reassurance of patients. EQUAM will continue to provide updated information about implants, injectables and new technologies in plastic surgery to the public.

Groningen, the Netherlands, 6 July 2002

#### References:

- [1] M. Topaz, C. Oppikofer, S. Mokady, U. Cogan. Evidence of Extreme Oxidative State of Soybean Oil-filled (Trilucent™) Breast Implants – Safety Concerns. Abstract of presentation given at the International Symposium of the American Society for Aesthetic Plastic Surgery, April 27, 2002, Las Vegas.
- [2] MDA HN 2000(05) June 2000 Trilucent™ Breast Implants: Recommendation to Remove. Manufacturer/supplier Lipomatrix Inc. / AEI Inc. (formerly Collagen Aesthetics International Inc.) Internet-address: <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk>
- [3] Assessment of Health Risks Associated with Exposure to Soybean Oil Filler Compounds and Peroxidation Products Potentially Released from Trilucent Breast Implants. Prepared for AEI Inc Gary M. Williams, M.D Professor of Pathology Director, Environmental Pathology and Toxicology New York Medical College Valhalla, New York, May 31, 2000.
- [4] Monstrey, S., Delanghe, J., Christophe, A., Dhooge, W., deGreyt, W., Bernard, D., van Landuyt, K., Blondeel, P. (2000) Biocompatibility and oxidative stability of radiolucent breast implants. *Plast. Reconstr. Surgery*, 105: 1429-1434.
- [5] Papanastasiou S, Odili J, Newman P, Evans J. Are triglyceride breast implants really biocompatible? *Ann Plast Surg*. 2000 Aug;45(2):172-3; discussion 174-6.
- [6] Bondurant S, Ernster V, Herdman R (eds). Safety of Silicone Breast Implants, Report of the Committee on the Safety of Silicone Breast Implants, Division of Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, Institute of Medicine (hereinafter IOM). National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., June 22, 1999, p187. Internet address: [www4.nationalacademies.org/news.nsf](http://www4.nationalacademies.org/news.nsf)
- [7] United Kingdom Report of the Independent Review Group (hereinafter IRG) "Silicone Gel Breast Implants," p25. Internet-address: [www.silicone-review.gov.uk](http://www.silicone-review.gov.uk)
- [8] European Parliament Directorate General for Research, Scientific and Technological Options Assessment (hereinafter STOA) "Health Risks Posed by Silicone Implants in General with Special Attention to Breast Implants – Final Study," p22-23. European Parliament Resolution on the petitions declared admissible concerning silicone implants (Petitions Nos 470/1998 and 771/1998) (2001/2068[INI]). Internet-address: [www.europarl.eu.int](http://www.europarl.eu.int)
- [9] Health Council of the Netherlands (hereinafter Netherlands) "Gezondheidsrisico's van siliconenborstimplantaten – Health Risks of Silicone Breast Implants" English Executive Summary, p11. Internet-address: [www.gezondheidsraad.nl](http://www.gezondheidsraad.nl)
- [10] IOM p204
- [11] IRG p24
- [12] STOA p25-26
- [13] Netherlands p34
- [14] IOM p215
- [15] IRG p25
- [16] STOA p23
- [17] Netherlands p11
- [18] M. Topaz. Long-Term Possible Hazardous Effects of Ultrasonically Assisted Lipoplasty. Letter to the Editor, *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, 1998; 102: 280.
- [19] M. Topaz, A. Gedanken, Y. Koltypin, M. Motiei. Sonochemistry and Sonoluminescence in Simulated Ultrasound- assisted Lipoplasty Environment. *Aesthetic Surgery Journal*, 1999; 19(3):205-213.
- [20] M. Topaz, M. Motiei, A. Gedanken, D. Meyerstein, N. Meyerstein. EPR Analysis of Radicals Generated by High-Intensity Ultrasound Energy in Ultrasound-Assisted Lipoplasty - Simulated Environment. *Ultrasound in Med & Biol*, 2001; 27(6):851-859.
- [21] Achauer BM. A serious complication following medical-grade silicone injection of the face (case report). *Pls and rec surg*. 1983, 71(2): 251-253.
- [22] Amemiya T, Dake Y. Granuloma after augmentation of the eyelids with liquid silicone: An electron microscopic study. 1994, 10(1): 51-6.
- [23] Bon A, Eichmann A. Serious long-term complication following silicone injection of the face. *Dermatology*. 1993, 187(4): 286-7.
- [24] Mason J, Apisarnthanarax P. Migratory silicone granuloma. *Archives of dermatology*. 1981, 117: 366-7.
- [25] Mijlojevic B. Complications after silicone injection therapy in aesthetic plastic surgery. *Aesth pls surg*. 1982, 6:

203-6.

[26] Parmley VC, Barishak YR, Howes EL, Crawford JB. Foreign-body giant cell reaction to liquid silicone. American jour of ophthal. 1986, 101: 680-3.

[27] Raszewski R, Guyuron B, Lash RH, McMahon JT, Tuthill RJ. A severe fibrotic reaction after cosmetic liquid silicone injection (case report). J. Cranio-max.-fac surg. 1990, 18: 225-228.

[28] Travis WD, Balogh K, Abraham JL. Silicone granulomas: report of three cases and review of the literature. Human pathology, 1985, 16(1): 19-27.

[29] Wassermann RJ, Greenwald DP. Debilitating silicone granuloma of the penis and scrotum. Annals plas surg. 1995, 35(5): 505-510.

[30] Wilkie TF. Late development of granuloma after liquid silicone injections. Plas & rec surg. 1977, 60(2): 179-188.

[31] Zandi I. Use of suction to treat soft tissue injected with liquid silicone. Plast & Rec Surg, 1985, 76(2): 307-9.

[32] <http://www.fda.gov/opacom/backgrounders/collagen.html>

ADDENDUM d.d. 27-10-04

CONSENSUS DECLARATION OF EQUAM 26 June 2004